P

App. F. IX-4

1931-2 (S+A)

File No. 177-31

Serial Nos. 3-5

Previous References
S+A 27-24-(2, 3-5, 7-8, 10-13)
" 177-31-(1 and 2)
" 249-32-(1-2)

Later References
For. Dep. 1457/33.
S+A 292-38(+9)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.P 3-40 (1-2)

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for July 1933

SUBJECT.

(Maintenance allowance to Subbamma wife of Ramaraj Iyer s/o Anegundi Pallegar Family

---

8(5) to Comptr, # 6201 of 22.5.33

Directs that the above allowance be raised from Rs 5/- to Rs. 7.3.7 p.m

10/8/33

Anegondi Family. Pension

General and Revenue Secretariat

[App. F. III—

S & A File No. 177 of 1931.

Serial No.

3 From, The comptroller's No 8788 S.H.Try. Dy 11/18 -S- 1932 forwards for orders of Govt the report of the [illegible] in charge of the State Hazur Treasury, Bangalore on the petition of Mrs. Subbamma, Palleyar family, Anegondi, praying for a maintenance allowance of Rs 12/- only her husband's share of the pension.

Under G.O. No Fe. 6404/S&A 177-31-2 Dy 5 2 1932 a maintenance allowance of Rs 5/- has been sanctioned to her with the consent of her husband Mr Rama Raj Urs. Now she requests that a maintenance allowance of Rs 12/- be sanctioned to her on the ground that she is left in a helpless condition by her husband. Submd for orders.

Asst
21/6/32

2. The sum of Rs 17- is proposed to be given to the applicant out of her husband's share of the pension, viz Rs 16-12-0, vide G.O of 5-2-32. This will leave only Rs 4-12-0 to the husband, the wife getting Rs 5 (already sanctioned) + Rs 12 (now recommended) i.e Rs 17/ in all. This does not appear to be fair. As a principle, the husbands' consent has to be obtained before the add allowance can be sanctioned out of his share of pension. Such consent was obtained when sanctioning interim of Rs 5/- to the wife.

For orders.

Trichy, 22/6

ask S.H. Try officer to ascertain and report what the husband has to say about this.

22/6

5/7 Asst 5/7

* This will be Rs 9-12-0 if the sum of Rs 12 proposed is reduced to Rs 5 now allowed by us

9-12-0 / 22/6

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Anegondi Polegar Family

S & A File No. 177 of 1931. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Draft letter to the Officer in charge of the S. H Treasury Gadag.

Issued 6/7

Received No 7K 167 57 9-7-32

Sus

From the State Treasury Officer. No 5116 S.H.S 87/27/32

Recommending that maintenance allowance of Subbamma may be enhanced to Rs 8/- p.m. in the circumstances explained.

Subbamma with reference to para 3 of his note of 5/7/32 The S.H.T. Officer in his letter of 18th May 32 recommended that wife Subbamma may be allowed a maintenance allowance of Rs 12 out of her husbands share of the pension viz Rs 16-12-0

A reference was made from this office to the S.H.T officer to obtain the Consent of the husband of Subbamma before this additional allowance could be sanctioned to her out of his share of the pension. The same Officer has now recommended that the allowance of wife Subbamma may be enhanced to Rs 8/- in the circumstances explained.

Counter petitions, A Rama Rajaru in his letter of 28-7-32 to the S.H.T Officer, Contends ~~that~~ with the question of maintenance claim of ~~his~~ wife Subbamma is entirely within the jurisdiction of a Competent Civil Court ~~of~~ Gadag. It is learnt that besides the ~~pension~~ Stipend, the Counter petitioner is getting a Salary of Rs 30/- as a Clerk in the Municipality

H. (Para 1)

Anupoor Pallegar. Family Register

General and Revenue Secretariat — S.H.A. File No. 177 9657 of 1931.

Serial No.

Cant. at Mysore writes since he has dispensed with the services of his agent, he will be drawing a sum of Rs 2-3-7 p.m. which he was paying as Commission to the agent.

8) Subject to orders if the recommendation of the S.H.T. officer may be sanctioned.

9) It is stated that Mr Ramaraj Urs, who was given an opportunity to have his say in the matter, has not responded. The proposal of the S.H.T. officer enhancing the allowance of Mrs Subbamma from Rs 7- to Rs 8/- may perhaps be sanctioned in the circumstances explained.

7/3

Dewan through Chief Secy)

28/4/33.

10)

1/5/33.

2/5/33.

What is the total income of this family or of this branch of the family who are all the members of it and how is the amt being now shared among them?

2/5/33

3/5/33

3/5/33

DE 6332 1933

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Sifa File No. (77 Sanad Religious Pension) of 1931.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Under cover reference to para 11 supra. The family enjoys a pension of Rs 48-11-0 which was drawn in the name of the Sheunamarajurs the head Karavarandar till his death on 18th Jan 1931. Then it came to be registered in the name of his son A Ramarajurs who is the present head karavarandar. Vide G.O. No 6:611 Sifa 177-31-2 of 5-2-32. As per G.O. of 5-2-32 the pension in question is distributed among the several members of the family as follows.

1)	A Ramarajurs (son of the deceased)	Rs 16-12-0
2)	Sheunarajurs (brother of the deceased)	" 21-12-0
3)	Subbamma (wife of (1))	5-0-0
4)	Ranchanammanni (sister of the deceased) & mother of (3)	3-0-0
5)	Agents' Commission	2-3-7
		48-11-7

The Comptroller has since reported in his letter of 2/4 Feb 33 that the head karavarandar A Ramarajurs has dispensed with the services of his Agent thus effecting a saving of Rs 2-3-7

mdr 4/5

(14) Dewan through Chief Secretary } 4/5/33.

15) RS 11/5/33

(16) Maybe entertained 11.5.33.

RS 3-7.

mdr (2/57)

DEWAN'S OFFICE 12 MAY 1933

Maintenance Allowance to Subbamma

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 9657 of 193

Serial No.

17) Rules with a draft G.O. for approval

16 15/5 WW

For appl

18) H Secy) 17/5/33

19) M 19/5/33.

TYPING SECTION
9 MAY 1933
G. & REVENUE SECT.

19.5.33

5 H 6201-/ Dated 25/5/33 done

To the Comptroller.

Sanctions the enhancement of the allowance of Rs. granted to Subbamma from Rs 5/- to Rs 7-3-7 with effect from 1st May 1933

B WW 3/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

S 4A · 177-31-3

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

FINANCIAL BR. 8824 10 JUNE 1932 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

No. 8788 S.H.T. Enc 4

Dated the 11th May 1932.

18th JUN

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for orders of Government the report of the ~~Officer in~~ charge of the State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore, on the petition of Mrs. Subbamma, Pallegar of Anegondi praying for a maintenance allowance of Rs12/- out of her husband's share of the pension.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.
6/6/32

MSR

No.S.H.Try. Office of the State Huzur Treasury,

Bangalore, 11th May 1932.

18

From

The Officer in charge,
State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

To

The Comptroller in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the petition dated 14th March 1932 received from Mrs. Subbamma wife of Mr. A. Ramaraj Urs, Pallegar of Anogondi Family praying for a maintenance allowance of Rs.12/- out of her husband's share of the pension, I have the honor to state that the petitioner on enquiry is found to be separated by her husband and living with her mother for a long time. Under G.O.No.Fl.C.404/ S&A 177-31-2 dated 3rd/4th March 1932 a maintenance allowance of Rs.5/- has since been sanctioned to her.

Further it is found that the petitioner is left in a helpless condition by her husband arranging to marry a second wife Mrs. Padmavatamma. She cannot maintain herself with the small sum of Rs.5/- and as such her prayer deserves favourable consideration by the Government.

Under these circumstances, I recommend that the petitioner may kindly be allowed a maintenance allowance of Rs.12/- out of her husband share of the pension.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Officer in charge,
State Huzur Treasury.

MSR

C. 27055

To

The Khajana Bakshi,
State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.

Sir,

I, the undersigned, beg to state that I am the wife of ~~the~~ Mr. Rama Raj Urs, son of the late Mr. Channama Raj Urs, of Anegondi Pallegar Family. The deceased Channama Raj Urs, was not only my father-in-law but also my maternal uncle (my mother's elder brother). My mother being helpless, having lost her husband, when very young, my uncles Mr. Chennama Raj Urs and his younger brother Sanjivaraj Garu who predeceased my father-in-law were maintaining us(my mother and her three female children) and ~~p~~paying to my mother Rs.3/- out of their family stipendiary. My uncles, considering the helpless situation of us got my elder sister married to my younger uncle Sanjivaraju's son Thimmaraju and I was got married to Mr. Ramaraj Urs, my eldest uncle Channamaraja Urs's son.

Being myself a poor and helpless woman's daughter, my mother-in-law and her mother who is depending for livelihood on her daughter began to ill-treat me and instigate my husband to neglect me, even during the life time of my uncles, as the marriage was at the instance of my uncles and against my mother-in-law and her mother's desire: and as such, I was several times being sent with my husband and other relations to my mother's place and received back, by my uncle.

After hearing the demise of my father-in-law, I came to Mysore and went to my husband's house with my mother and unfortunately, we were not admitted into the house and had to go back and live with our relatives at Tharamila in Anantapur District.

My mother and myself petitioned to your kind self to continue my mother's portion of the stipendiary and to get me a suitable maintenance allowance out of the family's stipendiary of my husband's portion and you

you were pleased to get Government Orders for the continuance of Rs.3/- to my mother and Rs.5/- for myself.

I am the daughter of a very poor mother - having no father, brothers or wealthy relation. Unfortunately, I lost my father-in-law and other uncle who were the sole supporters to us. My father-in-law was a 1st class retired Police Inspector getting a pension ofRs.50 per mensem besides our family stipendiary and had accumulated much wealth. In addition to this wealth, my husband is getting a salary of Rs.30 in the Munsiff's Court at Mysore. I am neglected by my husband and my mother-in-law who is at the influence of her own mother, has now arranged for a second marriage to my husband which is to take place very shortly.

It is very hard for me to maintain myself with only Rs.5 you were pleased to get sanctioned.

My husband is in no way poor. I hear, you have in several cases obtained the sanction of Government in getting adequate maintenance to helpless persons like myself.

I beg you will be pleased to allot for me at least Rs.12/- for my maintenance out of my husband's portion of stipendiary and thus help me an humblest and neglected woman who is to observe Ghosha and keep the prestige of the family.

For which act of generosity, I shall, as in duty bound, pray for your long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

ಸುಬ್ಬಮ್ಮ ಹಾಲುವ

(Sd.) Subbamma.

14th March 1932.
Tharamila,
Pamidi Post.

Recommended
Mg

Pay the
Mess
14/3/32

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section S & A

File No. 177-31

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. H 167 Encs

To, The Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Your letter No. ~~8788~~ S.H Try. 57 11/18 -5-1932 ~~from~~ to the Comptroller re the enhancement of the maintenance allowance to Pensioner mrs. Subbamma, Pallegar of Anegondi, I am directed to request you to ascertain and report what her husband (Mr. Ramaraj mrs) has to say about in the matter.

The enclosures to the ~~Comptroller~~ Your letter referred to above are returned herewith for reference and return.

I have etc

for Financial Secretary

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 9/7/32

Signed by Mr A8100

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

V.R.

STA. 177-31-4(a)

F.

State Huzur Treasury,

No. 5149 S.H.T. Enc 5. Bangalore, 27th February 1933.

From

M. S. Ramachendra R o Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Officer in charge,
State Huzur Treasury,
B a n g a l o r e.

To

S. Shamanna Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

FIN... 2... 2697

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your D.O. letter No. Fl.3824 dated 11 h/12th January 1933 regarding the enhancement of a maintenance a lowance to Mrs. Subbamma (wife of Ramaraj Urs) polegar of Anegondi, I have the honor to state that notices were issued to both the parties and an enquiry was held on 28th September 1932 Mr. A. Ramaraj Urs husband of the petitioner, who was present in person filed a written statement to the effect that this office has no jurisdiction in the matter of the grant of a maintenance allowance to his wife and that is one entirely within the jurisdiction of a competent Civil Court. But when it was pointed out to him how the adjudication of all claims in respect of Pollegar Pensions had been expressly taken out of the jurisdiction of Civil Courts and reserved by Government themselves, he agreed to reconsider the matter and intimate his final decision. But he has not yet done so although more than 4 months have elapsed since then.

2. Petitioner Subbamma, who was also present again urged her grievances and prayed that her maintenance allowance may be raised from Rs.5 to Rs.12/- per mensem.

3. It is observed from the records that a maintenance allowance of Rs.5/- was recommended to be granted to the petitioner Subbamma in accordance with the consent of Mr. Ramaraja Urs himself, and this has been sanctioned in Government Order No. Fl.404/S&A.177-31-2 dated 3rd/4th February 1932. Since then, as it was reported that the counter-petitioner Mr. Ramaraja Urs had totally abandoned the petitioner and taken a second wife, the allowance of Rs.5/- was recommended to be enhanced to Rs.12/- per mensem in my report No. 8788/S.H.T. dated 18th May 1932 as that would be the minimum amount required for the independent subsistence of a person of the rank and status of the petitioner, and in view of the hardship caused to her by the second marriage of her husband. It has since come to my notice that she is not living independently but she is living with her mother Nancharamma who is getting an allowance of Rs.3/-. The counter-petitioner Ramaraja Urs contends that the difference between the proposed (Rs.12/) and existing Rs.5/- allowance viz., Rs.7/- per mensem is too big a slice to be paid out of his slender stipend of Rs.16-12-0. But it is learnt that besides, the stipend he is getting a salary of Rs.30/- as a clerk in the Munsiff's Court at Mysore. Further, since he has terminated the Agency of Mr. Venkatasubbaiya, he will be saving a sum of Rs.2-3-7 per mensem which he was paying as commission to the latter. Thus, considering all the circumstances of the case, I am of opinion that the maintenance allowance of Subbamma may be enhanced to Rs.8/- per ~~mensem~~ pensem instead of Rs.12/- as previously proposed and I recommend accordingly.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Ramachandra Rao

Officer in charge,
State Huzur Treasury.

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section SHA.

File No. 177-31

Serial No. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 25/5/ 1933

M

Signed by .....

Read—

G.O. No Je 6404 / SHA 177 31-2 dy 5-2-32

directing, among other things, that a sum of Rs 5/- p.m. be given as maintenance to Subbamma wife of Ramaraja Urs of the Aregoor Pallegar family, from out of the Pallegar pension of Rs 48-11-7

2. Letter no 8788 / S.H.T. dy 11/18th May 1932 from the Comptroller forwarding for orders the report of the S.H.T. Officer on the petition of Subbamma of the Aregoor Pallegar family praying for a maintenance allowance of Rs 12/- out of her husband's share of the pension

3) Correspondence ending with letter no 5149 / S.H.T. dy 27th Feb 1933

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order thereon.—No.